

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/698,644	10/27/2000	Gopalan Raman	10002988-1	6504	
75	590 08/14/2003				
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O.Box 272400			EXAMINER		
			WILLIAMS, KEVIN D		
Fort Collins, Co	O 80527-2400		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2854		

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>		
•	•	Application N .	Applicant(s)	-		
		09/698,644	09/698,644 RAMAN, GOPALAN			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kevin D. Williams	2854			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apr r Reply	opears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence add	ress		
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periore to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutle ply received by the Office later than three months after the mail of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this con NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<u> October 2000</u> .	-			
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	his action is non-final.				
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims			merits is		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🗌 -	Γhe specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.				
10)🛛 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 October 2000</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	sapproved by the Examiner	•		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in r	reply to this Office action.				
12)[] 7	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		tage		
_	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•		innlication)		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language p	•	, , , ,	ррпоацопу.		
15)[] A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	stic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of In	ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-			
S. Patent and Tro PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8			

Application/Control Number: 09/698,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of the Group I invention, claims 1-5 and 10 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 4 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4 recites the limitation "the overlayer" in line 2. Claim 14 recites this limitation in line 3. It appears that there is insufficient antecedent basis for the limitation in the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3, 5, 10 and 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daigneault (US 6,334,678) in view of Mehta (US 5,944,881).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 10, and 13-15, Daigneault teaches a method for printing a secure image on media using an inkjet printing device, the method comprising printing an underlayer 4 using an inkjet printing device where the underlayer defines an

Art Unit: 2854

identification indicia. Daigneault teaches the underlayer being a watermark.

Watermarks are preprinted images that inherently receive a subsequent printed image that goes on top of the watermark when the media is printed by the end user.

Daigneault teaches that the underlayer can be printed from a group of various colors (col. 6, lines 51-53) that are independent of an ink color of the image to be printed by the end user. Daigneault teaches a storage device 28 for storing information specifying the underlayer, a control device 14 for selecting image information from the storage device to print the underlayer, an input device, and an underlayer wherein examination of a front surface allows viewing of the identification indicia for authenticating the secure image.

Daigneault does not teach the underlayer penetrating into a front surface of the media, the secure image completely covering the underlayer, the inkjet printing device for printing the underlayer being the same inkjet printing device for printing the overlayer, the underlayer being printed using one of magenta and cyan ink, the information from the input device being used for printing the overlayer, the underlayer being formed from a series of small ink drops that are sufficiently small to prevent viewing with the naked eye and under normal light, and examination of a back surface opposite the front surface allowing viewing of the identification indicia for authenticating the secure image.

With respect to claims 1, 5, 10, 12, and 15-17, Mehta teaches an underlayer penetrating (clm. 9) into a front surface of the media, the underlayer being printed using one of magenta and cyan ink (col. 2, lines 8-10), the underlayer being formed from a

series of small ink drops that are sufficiently small to prevent viewing with the naked eye and under normal light (col. 4, lines 32-39), where examination of a back surface (col. 2, lines 30-34) opposite the front surface allows viewing of the identification indicia for authenticating the secure image.

Page 4

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Daigneault to have the penetrating ink as taught by Mehta, in order to provide a hidden security feature which can be used to authenticate a document by exposure to ultraviolet light as taught by Mehta (col. 1, lines 63-65).

With respect to claim 3, Daigneault teaches an underlayer in the form of background watermarks that are formed into designs such as company logos. Watermarks are preprinted background images that are subsequently printed on top of by the end user. It would be obvious to subsequently print an image that completely covers the underlayer, in order to efficiently utilize printing media resources by printing on the entire sheet of paper.

5. Claims 4, 11, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Daigneault in view of Mehta as applied to claims 1-3, 5, 10 and 12-17 above, and further in view of Silverbrook (US 6,431,704).

Daigneault in view of Mehta teaches the claimed invention except for the inkiet printing device for printing the underlayer being the same inkiet printing device for printing the overlayer and information from the input device being used for printing the overlayer.

Silverbrook teaches that inkjet printing devices can be used to print images that appear in the foreground of media (Fig.1), and information from an input device 24 being selected for printing the images.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to additionally modify Daigneault to have the inkjet printing device of Daigneault be used to print the overlaying images, in order to save time and money by only using one device to print the underlayer and the overlayer.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant's attention is directed to US Patent 6,349,972, which describes watermarks as preprinted background images.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin D. Williams whose telephone number is (703) 305-3036. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (703) 305-6619. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

KDW

August 6, 2003

STEPHEN R. FUNK PRIMARY EXAMINER